UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,126	08/22/2003	Jae Wook Yu	2060-3-47	8870
	7590 11/24/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &		EXAMINER	
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			LU, ZHIYU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,126	YU, JAE WOOK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ZHIYU LU	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be actionally to the should be actionally the should be action	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/25/2008 has been entered.

Priority

2. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a certified English translation of the foreign application must be submitted in reply to this action. 37 CFR 41.154(b) and 41.202(e).

Failure to provide a certified translation may result in no benefit being accorded for the non-English application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2618

3. Claims 1, 10-11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the

relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention.

In claims 1, 10-11 and 20, applicant amended a limitation, "wherein the length of the guard

period provided between the uplink and the downlink signal is variable with respect to a previous

length of a guard period provided between a previous uplink and downlink signal". However,

there is no support in the filed specification. There is nothing in the filed specification about

either the length of the guard period being variable or being with respect to a previous length of a

guard period. For examination purpose, the amended limitation is not considered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 08/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Regarding the amended claims, applicant's argument with respect to the amended limitation is

moot since there is no support found in the filed specification. For examination purpose, the

amended limitation is not considered.

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Na (US Patent#6226276) in view of Soulabail et al. (US2002/0071415).

Regarding claim 1, Na discloses a mode switching method in a TDD mobile communication system (see title and abstract) comprising: providing a mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch (103 of fig. l) between transmission node (NTx) (i.e. uplink signal) and reception node (NRx) (i.e. downlink signal) of a transceiver (see fig.1 and col.4 lines 28-47);

setting and resetting the mode switching start point based on specific time interval provided between the reception and transmission signal (i.e. uplink signal and the downlink signal) (see fig.1,3 and col.5 lines 14-27,col.9 lines 8-37);

and starting mode switching by the switch (103 of Fig. l) at the mode switching common node (NC) start point (see fig.1,3 and col.9 lines 60-67).

(setting reception mode first 1 ms and resetting transmission mode next 1 ms, therefore setting and resetting transmission and reception based on specific guard time interval).But Na does not disclose explicitly guard period has variable length.

However, Soulabail teaches frame structure for cellular telecommunications system (same field of endeavor) wherein guard period is varied for downlink and uplink transmission

(i.e. setting/resetting mode switching start point based on a length of the guard period (guard period 66 and guard period 68 of fig.6 are different length) provided between uplink and downlink signal) (see Fig. 6 and para[0034]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of variable length of guard period (66) and guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to transmit/receive error free signal by including variable length of guard period for transmitting uplink and receiving downlink signal by the transceiver in a wireless communication system for the purpose of increasing cell size.

Regarding claim 2, as discussed above with respect to claim 1, Na discloses determining a mode switching time (MST) (i.e. mode switching time need 1 millisecond for transmission mode and 1 millisecond for reception mode) of the transceiver (see fig.1 and col.4 lines 27-55); and Soulabail further teaches determining a minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver between uplink and downlink slot (see Fig.6 and para[0046]); and characterized the MST for guard period (66 of fig.6) is longer than the than the minimum guard period (68 of fig. 6); and start mode switching uplink to downlink (see fig.6 and para [0017],[0020],[0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by

Na) by incorporating teaching of reset mode of switching time is longer than minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claims 3, 4 and 5, as discussed above with respect to claim 1, Na discloses all the imitations except determining an advancing time offset based on a minimum guard period (GPmin) and shorter than minimum guard period; and setting the mode switching start point before a start point of the minimum guard period of the transceiver based on a mode switching signal; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of GPmin. However, Soulabail further teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period and advance time shorter than minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0034],[0035],[0046],[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of minimum guard period (68) (see fig.6 and para[0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of advance offset time ,reset switching mode based on minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) and calculate between advance offset time and minimum guard period

(as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 8 and 9, as discussed above with respect to claim 2, Na further discloses performing mode switching based on the mode switching common node (NC) i.e. start point (see fig.l,3) but failed to disclose determining an advancing time offset shorter than the GPmin; and setting the mode switching start point before a start point of a minimum guard period of the system based on a mode switching signal and method of claim 6, wherein the mode switching start point is determined by determining the time difference between the advancing time offset and the start point of GPmin.

However, Soulabail teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point before the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of minimum guard period (68) (see fig.6 and para[0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of advance offset time ,reset switching mode based on minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) and calculate between advance offset time and minimum guard period (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 10, Na discloses a mode switching method in a TDD mobile communication system (see title and abstract) comprising: providing a mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch (103 of fig.l) between transmission node (NTx) (i.e. uplink signal) and reception node (NRx) (i.e. downlink signal) of a transceiver (see fig.l and col.4 lines 28-47); and starting mode switching by the switch (103 of fig.l) at the mode switching common node (NC) start point (see fig.l,3 and col.9 lines 60-67); and Na further discloses determining a mode switching time (MST) (i.e. mode switching time need 1 millisecond for transmission mode and 1 millisecond for reception mode) of the transceiver (see fig.l and col.4 lines 27-55).

But Na does not disclose explicitly for determining an advance time offset based on minimum guard period; setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period of the transceiver; and MST is greater than the minimum guard period.

However, Soulabail teaches frame structure for cellular telecommunications system (same field of endeavor) and Soulabail teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; (see fig.6 and para[0035]); and Soulabail further teaches determining a minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver between uplink and downlink slot (see fig.6 and para[0046]); and characterized the MST for guard period (66 of fig.6) is longer than the than the minimum guard period (68 of fig. 6); and start mode switching uplink to downlink (see

fig.6 and para [0017],[0020],[0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of reset mode of switching time is longer than minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 11, Na discloses a mode switching method in a TDD mobile communication system (see title and abstract) comprising: providing a mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch (103 of fig.l) between transmission node (NTx) (i.e. uplink signal) and reception node (NRx) (i.e. downlink signal) of a transceiver (see fig.l and col.4 lines 28-47); setting and resetting the mode switching start point based on specific time interval provided between the reception and transmission signal (i.e. uplink signal and the downlink signal) (see fig.l,3 and col.5 lines 14-27,col.9 lines 8-37); and starting mode switching by the switch (103 of fig.l) at the mode switching common node (NC) start point (see fig.l,3 and col.9 lines 60-67). (setting reception mode first 1 ms and resetting transmission mode next 1 ms, therefore setting and resetting transmission and reception based on specific guard time interval).

But Na does not disclose explicitly guard period has variable length.

However, Soulabail teaches frame structure for cellular telecommunications system (same field of endeavor) wherein guard period is varied for downlink and uplink transmission (i.e.

setting/resetting mode switching start point based on a length of the guard period (guard period 66 and guard period 68 of fig.6 are different length) provided between uplink and downlink signal) (see fig. 6 and para[0034]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of variable length of guard period (66) and guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to transmit/receive error free signal by including variable length of guard period for transmitting uplink and receiving downlink signal by the transceiver in a wireless communication system for the purpose of increasing cell size.

Regarding claim 12, as discussed above with respect to claim 11, Na discloses determining a mode switching time (MST) (i.e. mode switching time need 1 millisecond for transmission mode and 1 millisecond for reception mode) of the transceiver (see fig.1 and col.4 lines 27-55); and Soulabail further teaches determining a minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver between uplink and downlink slot (see fig.6 and para[0046]); and characterized the MST for guard period (66 of fig.6) is longer than the than the minimum guard period (68 of fig. 6); and start mode switching uplink to downlink (see fig.6 and para [0017],[0020],[0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by

Na) by incorporating teaching of reset mode of switching time is longer than minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 13, 14 and 15, as discussed above with respect to claim 11, Na discloses all the imitations except determining an advancing time offset based on a minimum guard period (GPmin); and setting the mode switching start point before a start point of the minimum guard period of the transceiver based on a mode switching signal; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of GPmin. However, Soulabail further teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period and advance time shorter than minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0034],[0035],[0046],[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of minimum guard period (68) (see fig.6 and para[0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of advance offset time ,reset switching mode based on minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) and calculate between advance offset time and minimum guard period (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 16, 17, 18 and 19, as discussed above with respect to claim 11,12, Na further discloses performing mode switching based on the mode switching common node (NC) i.e. start point (see fig.1,3) but failed to disclose determining an advancing time offset shorter than the GPmin; and setting the mode switching start point before a start point of a minimum guard period of the system based on a mode switching signal and method of claim 6, wherein the mode switching start point is determined by determining the time difference between the advancing time offset and the start point of GPmin.

However, Soulabail teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point before the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; and determining a time deference between the advancing time offset and the start point of minimum guard period (68) (see fig.6 and para[0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver (as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of advance offset time ,reset switching mode based on minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) and calculate between advance offset time and minimum guard period (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Regarding claim 20, Na discloses a mode switching method in a TDD mobile communication system (see title and abstract) comprising: providing a mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch (103 of fig.l) between transmission node (NTx) (i.e. uplink signal) and reception node (NRx) (i.e. downlink signal) of a transceiver (see fig.l and col.4 lines 28-47); setting and resetting the mode switching start point based on specific time interval provided between the reception and transmission signal (i.e. uplink signal and the downlink signal) (see fig.l,3 and col.5 lines 14-27,col.9 lines 8-37);and determining a mode switching time (MST) (i.e. mode switching time need 1 millisecond for transmission mode and 1 millisecond for reception mode) of the transceiver (see fig.l and col.4 lines 27-55).

But Na does not disclose explicitly for determining an advance time offset based on minimum guard period; setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period of the transceiver; and MST is greater than the minimum guard period.

However, Soulabail teaches frame structure for cellular telecommunications system (same field of endeavor), and Soulabail further teaches calculation of advance timing based on minimum guard period (see fig.6 and para[0047],[0048]); setting mode switching start point of the minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) of the transceiver; and Soulabail furthermore teaches characterized the MST for guard period (66 of fig.6) is longer than the than the minimum guard period (68 of fig. 6); and start mode switching uplink to downlink (see fig.6 and para [0017],[0020],[0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mode switching operation at common node (NC) i.e. start point by the switch between transmission node (NTx) and reception node (NRx) of a transceiver

(as taught by Na) by incorporating teaching of reset mode of switching time is longer than minimum guard period (68 of fig.6) provided between uplink and downlink signal (as taught by Soulabail) to adjust the time for minimizing delay of transmission/reception signal in a wireless communication system.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZHIYU LU whose telephone number is (571)272-2837. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays: 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2618

Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Z. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 November 14, 2008

/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618